

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,188	09/30/2003	Hironobu Sai	033022-010	1256	
21839 7.	590 08/21/2006		EXAMINER		
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			LE, THAO X		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/673,188	SAI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thao X. Le	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	ecember 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 10-12</u> is/are pending in the	application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
AMarkon ant/al					
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-/ 🗀				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5621750 to Iwano et al. in view of US 6716378 to Yang et al.

Regarding claim 1, Iwano semiconductor light emitting device (LED) in fig. 5A comprising: a mesa section (convex portion) having at least sandwich structure of an n-type clad layer 104, column 14 line 51, an active layer 105, column 14 line 52, and a p-type clad layer 106, column 14 line 56, which are constituted by compound semiconductor layers formed on a substrate 102, column 14 line 45; and an inorganic

insulating film formed 108, column 15 lines 5-15, to cover the mesa section excluding a contact region.

But, Iwano does not disclose the LED wherein the inorganic insulating film having a porous area defined by cylindrical vacancies, having vacancy rate of 50% or more while being oriented substantially in parallel with a surface of the substrate, and wherein the vacancies are arranged at periodic interval.

However, Yang discloses the inorganic insulating film having a porous area defined by cylindrical vacancies, having vacancy rate of 50% or more, col. 6 lines 32-35, while being oriented substantially in parallel with a surface of the substrate, col. 6 line 30, and wherein the vacancies are arranged at periodic interval, fig. 2A-5C. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the inorganic layer teaching of Yang to replace the inorganic layer 108 in Iwano's device, because such insulating material would have produced a low dielectric constant and low-cost inorganic dielectric material as taught by Yang in column 2 lines 38-40.

The 'vacancy' is being interpreted as a 'porosity' or 'holes' structure.

Regarding claim 3, Iwano does not disclose the semiconductor light emitting device according to claim 2, wherein the inorganic insulating film comprises a plurality of the porous structures, wherein the cylindrical are formed such that the cylindrical vacancies of adjacent porous structures are oriented in different directions.

However, Yang discloses a inorganic insulating film comprises a plurality of the porous structures, wherein the cylindrical are formed such that the

Application/Control Number: 10/673,188

Art Unit: 2814

cylindrical vacancies of adjacent porous structures are oriented in different directions, fig. 2A-5C col. 3 lines 8-20. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the inorganic layer teaching of Yang to replace the inorganic layer 108 in Iwano's device, because such insulating material would have produced a low dielectric constant and low-cost inorganic dielectric material as taught by Yang in column 2 lines 38-40.

Page 4

Regarding claims 4 and 10-12, Iwano discloses the semiconductor light emitting device according to any of claims to 3, wherein the mesa section includes a surface emission structure having an electrode 112, column 15 line 18, in a top portion and comprises a semiconductor layer 109, column 14 line 56, provided with an active layer 105 having a quantum well structure, column 14 line 53, constituted by a compound semiconductor, and a pad 112, fig. 1 (electrode 112 comprises a pad as shown in fig. 1), to come in contact with the electrode 112 is provided on the inorganic insulating film 108.

With respect to "a sintered inorganic", the process limitations "a sintered inorganic" do not carry weight in a claim drawn to structure. In re Thorpe, 277 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 29 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Yang fails to teach or suggest using

Art Unit: 2814

mesoporous silica to cover a mesa section excluding a contact region of semiconductor light emitting device, low dielectric and low cost inorganic material. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Thus, the examiner submits that replacing the inorganic dielectric material of Iwano with mesoporous silica of Yang does not change the principle of operation of the primary reference or render the reference inoperable for its intended purpose. See MPEP § 2143.01. Furthermore, Yang clearly shows the mesoporous silica including inorganic material, col. 2 line 53 and col. 4 line 26, and having low dielectric property, col. 6 line 37.

## Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao X. Le

15 Aug. 2006